

19 December 2024



New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade Manatū Aorere

195 Lambton Quay Private Bag 18–901 Wellington 6160 New Zealand

T +64 4 439 8000 F +64 4 472 9596

Personal details removed for proactive release

Thank you for your email of 26 October 2024 to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade (the Ministry), in which you request the following under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA):

"I request copies of all assessments, briefings, reports, talking points and similar advice by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade to the Minister of Foreign Affairs on the 19 July 2024 declaratory decision by the International Court of Justice on the legal consequences arising from the policies and practices of Israel in the occupied Palestinian territory, including East Jerusalem."

Background

A significant amount of information relating to the Israel-Hamas conflict is publicly available on the Ministry's website: <u>https://www.mfat.govt.nz/en/countries-and-regions/middle-east/israel-hamas-conflict</u>

This information is regularly updated and includes links to announcements, details on funding, statements and press releases, proactively released OIA responses, and responses to frequently asked questions.

Response to your request

Please see the following table for a list of documents in scope of your request:

Туре	Title	Date
Email	ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation	20 July 2024
Email	ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation	22 July 2024
Email	ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation	23 July 2024
Email	Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ICJ	25 July 2024
Email	FORMAL MESSAGE: MEPP/ICJ: [Withheld under 6(b)(i)]	2 August 2024

Туре	Title	Date
Email	FORMAL MESSAGE MIDDLE EAST CONFLICTS – UPDATED TALKING POINTS	8 August 2024
Email	Sanctions on Israel following ICJ Ruling on Unlawful presence in Palestinian Territories - ministerial templates for OMFA	12 August 2024

Some information is withheld under the following sections of the OIA:

- 6(a): to avoid prejudicing the security or defence of New Zealand or the international relations of the New Zealand Government;
- 6(b)(i): to protect the passing of information from another government on a confidential basis;
- 6(b)(ii): to protect the passing of information from an international organisation on a confidential basis;
- 9(2)(a): to protect individuals' privacy;
- 9(2)(g)(i): to protect the free and frank expression of opinions by departments;
- 9(2)(g)(ii): to protect officers and employees from improper pressure or harassment; and
- 9(2)(h): to maintain legal professional privilege.

One briefing titled "UNGA Resolution: ICJ Advisory Opinion on Israeli Occupation of Palestine" dated 16 September 2024 is refused under section 18(d) as the information is publicly available on the MFAT website here: <u>https://www.mfat.govt.nz/assets/OIA/OIA-2024/OIA-29693-UNGA-Illegal-israeli-actions-in-occupied-Jerusalem-6-November-2024.pdf</u>

Where the information has been withheld under section 9 of the OIA, no public interest in releasing the information has been identified that would override the reasons for withholding it.

Please note that it is our policy to proactively release our responses to official information requests where possible. Therefore, our response to your request (with your personal information removed) may be published on the Ministry website: www.mfat.govt.nz/en/about-us/contact-us/official-information-act-responses/ If you have any questions about this decision, you can contact us by email at: <u>DM-ESD@mfat.govt.nz</u>. You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision by contacting <u>www.ombudsman.parliament.nz</u> or freephone 0800 802 602.

Nāku noa, nā

Owen Thornber for Secretary of Foreign Affairs and Trade

From: Sent: To:

s9(2)(g)(ii) Saturday, 20 July 2024 6:14 pm s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc:

s9(2)(g)(ii)

MEDIA; \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject:

Information AC Re: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

Thanks \$9(2)(g)

MFA has issued an abbreviated version of your proposed tweet, as below.

Best

s9(2)(g) (ii)

https://x.com/newzealandmfa/status/1814543628167225842?s=46

The International Court of Justice has issued an advisory opinion ruling that Israel's presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territories is unlawful and must end as rapidly as possible.

Continued settlement expansion makes a two-state solution, the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live sideby-side with security and dignity, more difficult.

Israel must honour its international legal obligations.

-WP

s9(2)(g)(ii)

Senior Foreign Affairs Adviser Office of Rt Hon Winston Peters New Zealand Deputy Prime Minister & Minister of Foreign Affairs NZ Phone: \$9(2)(a)

edur

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2024 3:53:23 PM To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

s9(2)(g)(ii)

MEDIA <media@mfat.govt.nz>; \$9(2)(g)(ii) Subject: RE: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

[SEEMAIL] [RESTRICTED]

Sorry one edit issue - corrected below.

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Saturday, 20 July 2024 3:51 pm To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

information Act MEDIA <media@mfat.govt.nz>; ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} Subject: RE: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

Good Afternoon,

Further to my message this morning, and following a conversation with ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} we have the following suggest lines to be incorporated in a tweet. s6(a)

In light of the likely interest, we think there is merit in pushing out an X post today so that we have a clear statement on the record before media engagements this week. We (MEA/LGL) have the following lines which could form the basis of a 3 part tweet:

- The ICJ Advisory Opinion on Israel's policies and practices in the Occupied Palestinian Territories is a significant development. New Zealand is a strong supporter of the ICJ which plays a critical role in upholding international law. s9(2)(g)(i)
- The Court has concluded that Israel's presence in the OPT is unlawful and that Israel must end its occupation . as rapidly as possible. The Court also said that Israel must cease all new settlement activities, remove all settlers from the OPT, and make reparations for the damage caused.
- Continued settlement expansion and Israel's occupation of the OPT is unlawful and makes a two-state solution more difficult. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side by side with security and dignity. Israel must uphold its international legal obligations.

I'll flick through on whatsapp as discussed s9(2)(g)(ii)

s9(2)(g)(ii)

s9(2)(a)

Released under the Official Information Act

s6(a)

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Saturday, 20 July 2024 9:20 am To:

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

MEDIA <media@mfat.govt.nz> Subject: Re: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

Thanks ^{s9(2)(g)}

To add to ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} point - PM will be doing his Post Cab Press Conference on Monday afternoon and Tuesday under the official info morning media first thing the next day. So we can prepare him, could we please have updated media lines for midday Monday?

onA

Apologies for the tight turnaround and many thanks in advance, s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2024 9:04:00 AM To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

MEDIA <media@mfat.govt.nz>

Subject: Re: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

Thanks $\frac{s9(2)(g)}{(iii)}$ Look forward to further advice in due course.

Just to note that this coming week is a sitting week, which means that MFA and the PM will be in the media a lot (including because of walks into / out of Parliament). Speaking only of MFA, I think it'd be helpful to have updated reactive lines by Tuesday lunchtime to aid his walk into Parliament.

Best

s9(2)(g)(ii)

Senior Foreign Affairs Adviser Office of Rt Hon Winston Peters New Zealand Deputy Prime Minister & Minister of Foreign Affairs NZ Phone: \$9(2)(a)

From: ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2024 5:53:01 AM To: ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}

MEDIA <<u>media@mfat.govt.nz</u>> Subject: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

[SEEMAIL] [RESTRICTED]

Good morning all,

As flagged to some (not all) yesterday and included in the Weekly Report, the International Court of Justice issued its advisory opinion earlier this morning NZ time.

The HAG's FM below provides an excellent summary of the immediate reaction. ^{s6(a)}

s9(2)(h)

With many issues being decided 14 judges to 1.

ation Ac

. New Zealand did not make submissions to the Court in this advisory opinion \$9(2)(h)

The 83 page decision has only been out a matter of hours, and given its significance, would benefit from considered advice in slower time including input from MEA colleagues on the broader impacts. We will coordinate fuller advice on this issue next week for Ministers.

If there are media enquiries to Ministers we recommend drawing on the following interim points:

- New Zealand is a strong supporter of the International Court of Justice the world's highest court.
- This is clearly a significant advisory opinion which has been released, and one which we will be studying carefully in the coming days.

s6(a), and allow us to prepare fuller advice.LGL will s6(a)and work with MEA to provide any updates as necessary over the weekend, andinto next week.

Please don't hesitate to give me a call if you require anything further

Thanks s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: HAGUE ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}				
Sent: Saturday, 20 July 2024	4 3:13 am			
To: LGL ^{s9} (2)(g)(ii) s9(2)(g)(ii)	MEA ^{s9} (2)(g)(ii) EUR ^{s9} (2)(g)(ii)	DS EMA ^{\$9(2)(g)(ii)}	DS MLG	
Cc:WLN SLT ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} s9(2)(g)(ii)	; CEO ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} FM.P/S MFA (Seemail) ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}	POLICY DIVISIONS ; FM.P/S Associate	MFA (Seemail)	
s9(2)(g)(ii)				
EUROPEAN POSTS ^{\$9(2)(g})(ii) ALL POS D <dm-fsd@mfat.govt.nz>: ^{s6(a)}</dm-fsd@mfat.govt.nz>	TS (FM) ^{59(2)(g)(ii)}	MEDIA	

Subject: FORMAL MESSAGE: ICJ: ADVISORY OPINION ON THE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE POLICIES AND PRACTICES OF ISRAEL IN THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY, INCLUDING EAST JERUSALEM

[IN CONFIDENCE]

SUMMARY - RĀPOPOTO

^{s6(a)}, the top UN Court – the International Court of Justice - has found that Israel's presence in occupied Palestine territories is illegal and must end. The Court concluded that Israel's policies and practices, including settlements and associated infrastructure, exploitation of natural resources and treating Jerusalem as the capital, "are designed to remain in place indefinitely" and amount to annexation. This is contrary to the prohibition on the use of force and the acquisition of territory by force. "Israel is not entitled to sovereignty in any part of the OTP, on account of its occupation, nor can security concerns override the prohibition on acquisition of territory by force". The ICJ has ruled that Israel must make restitutions of land, and make reparations for loss of land, assets and cultural property.

s6(a)

The ICJ has ruled that states and international organisations must not recognise the occupation as legal, and has called for the UNGA and the UNSC to make precise the next steps to implement this ruling.

ACTION - HOHENGA

For information.

REPORT - PŪRONGO

1. At the request of the UNGA in December 2022 (A/RES/77/247) the International Court of Justice was asked to give its view on Israel's policies and practices in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem, and on the legal status of the occupation.

2. In a landmark advisory opinion, the International Court of Justice has found that Israel's presence in occupied Palestine territories is illegal and must end. The judgement passed with an majority on all aspects, with the US and Australian judges in the majority. The judges from Uganda, France, Slovakia and Romania dissented on some of the operative paragraphs. The substantive press release and public court documents can be found here: Legal Consequences arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, in (icj-cij.org)

3. Voting on the operative paragraphs of the opinion were as follows:

- ICJ has jurisdiction: 14-1 Dissenting: Sebutinde, (Uganda)
- Israel's presence in the OPT is unlawful: 11-4 Dissenting Sebutinde (Uganda) Tomka (Slovakia) Abraham (France) Aurescu (Romania)
- Israel must bring to an end the occupation 11-4 Dissenting Sebutinde (Uganda) Tomka (Slovakia) Abraham (France) Aurescu (Romania)
- Israel must evacuate all settlers: 14-1 Dissenting: Sebutinde, (Uganda)
- Israel must make reparations and restitution 14-1 Dissenting: Sebutinde, (Uganda)
- All states are under obligation to not recognise the occupation as legal 12-3 Dissenting Sebutinde (Uganda) Abraham (France) Aurescu (Romania)
- All international organisations must not recognise the occupation as legal 12-3 Dissenting Sebutinde (Uganda) Abraham (France) Aurescu (Romania)
- UNGA and UNSC should make precise the next steps to bring an end to Israel's unlawful presence: 12-3 Dissenting Sebutinde (Uganda) Abraham (France) Aurescu (Romania)

Israel objected to the ICJ considering the questions at hand and described the move, which was 4. initiated by Palestine at the UN, as "part of the Palestinian attempt to dictate the results of the political settlement [of the Israel-Palestinian conflict] without negotiations". The Court began its ruling by making clear the Court has jurisdiction and it sees no compelling reason to decline consideration of the questions. Israel declined to take part in the hearings, but 52 other states did - with sizeable teams coming to The Hague earlier this year to present oral arguments. almorn

5. s6(a)

s6(a) 6.

This ICJ case is separate from the other active case brought to the court by South Africa accusing Israel of committing genocide against the Palestinians in the war in Gaza (our separate leased under the reporting refers).

COMMENT

7 s9(2)(g)(i)

s6(b)(i) s9(2)(g)(i)

ENDS - MUTU

"The information contained in this email message is intended only for the addressee and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the Ministry. It may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this message or the information in it as this may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately."

The information contained in this email message is for the attention of the intended recipient only and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose, copy or distribute this message or the information in it. If you have received this message in error, please destroy the email and notify the sender immediately.

"The information contained in this email message is intended only for the addressee and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the Ministry. It may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this message or the information in it as this may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately."

Out of scope

From: ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 11:25 AM To: ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} MEDIA <media@mfat.govt.nz>; \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

Good morning s9(2)(g)(ii)

Please find attached of Talking Points for the Prime Minister and Minister of Foreign Affairs on the ICJ's Advisory Opinion which held that Israel's presence in the oPt is unlawful. The document contains:

- 1. Talking and "if raised" points;
- 2. Background and partner reactions; and
- 3. An annex containing further analysis of the Court's Advisory Opinion.

s9(2)(g) we will update the office if further points are required ahead of MFA's expected press engagements (ii) tomorrow.

s9(2)

From: ^{\$9(2)(g)(ii)} Sent: Saturday, 20 July 2024 9:20 am To: ^{\$9(2)(g)(ii)}

Cc: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

MEDIA <media@mfat.govt.nz>

Subject: Re: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

Duplicate email

International Court of Justice Advisory Opinion on Israel and **Occupied Palestinian Territories**

Talking points

- The International Court of Justice the world's highest court issued its Advisory Opinion over the weekend.
- The Court concluded that Israel's presence in the occupied Palestinian territories is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible. The Court also said that Israel must cease all new settlement activities, remove all settlers, and make reparations for the damage caused.
- New Zealand has long held the view that settlements are illegal under international law. The Court has confirmed this view.
- New Zealand is firmly committed to the upholding of international law and the role of the Court. The conclusions the Court has reached are consistent with New Zealand's long-standing positions.
- New Zealand has been clear that Israel's settlements are unlawful, and that settlement expansion undermines a two-state solution. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity.
- Released under the offi New Zealand continues to call on Israel to honour its international legal obligations.

s9(2)(g)(i)

s9(2)(g)(i)

Pane 2 of 5

Background

On 19 July 2024 the International Court of Justice issued its Advisory Opinion in respect of the Legal Consequences arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem. The Court reached the following conclusions:

- Israel's continued presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territory is unlawful;
- Israel is under an obligation to bring to an end its unlawful presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territory as rapidly as possible;
- Israel is under an obligation to cease immediately all new settlement activities, and to evacuate all settlers from the Occupied Palestinian Territory;
- Israel has the obligation to make reparation for the damage caused to all the natural or legal persons concerned in the Occupied Palestinian Territory;
- All States (including New Zealand) are under an obligation not to render aid or assistance to Israel in maintaining its occupation.

s6(a)

The Court was unanimous that the construction of settlements and the failure to prevent violence by the settlers violate international humanitarian law, international human rights law and the right of the Palestinian people to self-determination and other rules of international law.

This is a very significant decision s6(a)

For

New Zealand, s9(2)(h)

including that settlements are unlawful under international law.¹ Officials will continue to consider the policy implications of the advisory opinion.

New Zealand reaction

New Zealand's Minister of Foreign Affairs released a statement on 20 July:

- The International Court of Justice has issued an advisory opinion ruling that Israel's presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territories is unlawful and must end as rapidly as possible.

¹ New Zealand co-sponsored on UNSC Resolution 2334 in 2016 which condemned Israels settlements as illegal under international law.

RESTRICTED

Page 3 of 5

- Continued settlement expansion makes a two-state solution, the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity, more difficult.
- Israel must honour its international legal obligations.

Partner reactions

- Australia's Minister Wong released a statement on X on 20 July which highlighted that settlement activity is illegal under international law and Israel must cease the expansion of settlements; respect for the independence of the Court and that a just and enduring peace requires a two-state solution.²
- The United Kingdom has released an interim statement noting that the UK is "considering it carefully before responding." The statement also notes that the UK is "strongly opposed to the expansion of illegal settlements" and is "committed to a negotiated two-State solution".³
- UN Secretary-General António Guterres reiterated his call for the parties to reengage on the "long-delayed political path" towards ending the occupation and resolving the conflict. "The only viable path is the vision of two States" his spokesperson said in a <u>statement</u>.

We have made clear that the Australian Government will deny anyone identified as an extremist settler a visa to travel to Australia.

We are carefully considering the detail of the ICJ opinion to fully understand the conclusions reached. ³ UK statement on the ICJ's Advisory Opinion on Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

POLI-203-1738

² The Albanese Government has been firm and consistent that settlement activity is illegal under international law and a significant obstacle to peace. We respect the independence of the Court and its critical role in upholding international law and the rules-based order.

A just and enduring peace will require the legitimate aspirations of the Palestinian people to self-determination to be realised.

We want to see concrete steps taken by Israel to cease the expansion of settlements and to respond to extremist settler activity.

RESTRICTED

Page 4 of 5

Annex: Further analysis

s9(2)(h)

s9(2)(h)

Page 5 of 5

Policy implications for New Zealand

s9(2)(h)

The ICJ has largely confirmed the position held by New Zealand for some time. These are views we have communicated to Israel's government. Ation Act

s9(2)(h)

New Zealand is likely to come under increasing pressure from advocacy groups to 'act' on the ICJ advisory opinion. s9(2)(h)

2

relise Released under the Additional pressure to sanction Israeli settlers will also likely continue. s9(2)(h) From: Sent: To: Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii) Tuesday, 23 July 2024 3:14 pm s9(2)(g)(ii) s9(2)(g)(ii)

MEDIA; \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: Attachments: RE: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation Talking Points International Court of Justice Advisory Opinion on Israel and Occupied Palestinian Territories.docx; Explainer International legal accountability.docx

ormati

Security Classification:

RESTRICTED

Hi ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}

Please find attached an explainer on the international cases involving Gaza/Israel. I have set out how International legal accountability efforts fall broadly into two categories:

1 State responsibility, addressed by the International Court of Justice. The Court:

(a) issues advisory opinions based on issues advisory opinions on legal questions which must be referred to the Court by United Nations organs (Advisory Opinion on the Legal Consequences Arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem) and

(b) hears contentious cases between states (South Africa v Israel).

2 Individual criminal responsibility, addressed by the International Criminal Court, which currently has an ongoing and active investigation into the situation in the West Bank, Gaza and East Jerusalem.

For each legal process I have outlined the timeline of the process, whether the decision is legally binding, and the New Zealand angle. I have also reattached the Talking Points on the Advisory Opinion.

s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} Sent: Monday, 22 July 2024 11:41 am To: ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} Cc: ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}

MEDIA <media@mfat.govt.nz>; \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation

Good morning, ^{s9(2)(g)}

Thank you very much for this. While we are writing to you, could we please ask LGL for a short summary (happy to receive it via email) of \$9(2)(g)(i)

Happy to discuss if easier.

Thanks in advance,



s9(2)(g)(ii) Private Secretary (Foreign Affairs) | Office of Rt Hon Winston Peters Deputy Prime Minister | Minister of Foreign Affairs | Minister for Racing M:s9(2)(a) H11:25 AM H11:25 AM MEDIA <media

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 11:25 AM To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: ICJ issues significant advisory opinion on Israeli Occupation Released

Duplicate email

Page 1 of 6

International Court of Justice Advisory Opinion on Israel and Occupied Palestinian Territories

Talking points

- The International Court of Justice the world's highest court issued its Advisory Opinion over the weekend.
- The Court concluded that Israel's presence in the occupied Palestinian territories is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible. The Court also said that Israel must cease all new settlement activities, remove all settlers, and make reparations for the damage caused.
- New Zealand has long held the view that settlements are illegal under international law. The Court has confirmed this view.
- New Zealand is firmly committed to the upholding of international law and the role of the Court. The conclusions the Court has reached are consistent with New Zealand's long-standing positions.
- New Zealand has been clear that Israel's settlements are unlawful, and that settlement expansion undermines a two-state solution. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity.
- New Zealand continues to call on Israel to honour its international legal obligations.

s9(2)(g)(i) Released under the Released

POLI-203-1738

Page 2 of 6

s9(2)(g)(i)

Background

On 19 July 2024 the International Court of Justice issued its Advisory Opinion in respect of the Legal Consequences arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem. The Court reached the following conclusions:

- Israel's continued presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territory is unlawful;
- Israel is under an obligation to bring to an end its unlawful presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territory as rapidly as possible;
- Israel is under an obligation to cease immediately all new settlement activities, and to evacuate all settlers from the Occupied Palestinian Territory;
- Israel has the obligation to make reparation for the damage caused to all the natural or legal persons concerned in the Occupied Palestinian Territory;
- All States (including New Zealand) are under an obligation not to render aid or assistance to Israel in maintaining its occupation.

s6(a)

The Court was unanimous that the construction of settlements and the failure to prevent violence by the settlers violate international humanitarian law, international human rights law and the right of the Palestinian people to self-determination and other rules of international law.

This is a very significant decision s6(a)

. For

New Zealand, s9(2)(h)

including that settlements are unlawful under international law.¹ Officials will continue to consider the policy implications of the advisory opinion.

New Zealand reaction

New Zealand's Minister of Foreign Affairs released a statement on 20 July:

- The International Court of Justice has issued an advisory opinion ruling that Israel's presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territories is unlawful and must end as rapidly as possible.

¹ New Zealand co-sponsored on UNSC Resolution 2334 in 2016 which condemned Israels settlements as illegal under international law. POLI-203-1738

RESTRICTED - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED

Page 3 of 6

- Continued settlement expansion makes a two-state solution, the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity, more difficult.
- Israel must honour its international legal obligations.

Partner reactions

- Australia's Minister Wong released a statement on X on 20 July which highlighted that settlement activity is illegal under international law and Israel must cease the expansion of settlements; respect for the independence of the Court and that a just and enduring peace requires a two-state solution.²
- The United Kingdom has released an interim statement noting that the UK is "considering it carefully before responding." The statement also notes that the UK is "strongly opposed to the expansion of illegal settlements" and is "committed to a negotiated two-State solution".³
- The United States has criticised the "breadth" of the Advisory Opinion, suggesting it will complicate efforts to resolve the conflict. However the US was clear that Israel's program of government support for settlements is both inconsistent with international law and obstructs the cause of peace.
- UN Secretary-General António Guterres reiterated his call for the parties to reengage on the "long-delayed political path" towards ending the occupation and resolving the conflict. "The only viable path is the vision of two States" his spokesperson said in a <u>statement</u>.

² The Albanese Government has been firm and consistent that settlement activity is illegal under international law and a significant obstacle to peace. We respect the independence of the Court and its critical role in upholding international law and the rules-based order.

We are carefully considering the detail of the ICJ opinion to fully understand the conclusions reached. ³ UK statement on the ICJ's Advisory Opinion on Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

POLI-203-1738

RESTRICTED - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED

A just and enduring peace will require the legitimate aspirations of the Palestinian people to self-determination to be realised.

We want to see concrete steps taken by Israel to cease the expansion of settlements and to respond to extremist settler activity.

We have made clear that the Australian Government will deny anyone identified as an extremist settler a visa to travel to Australia.

RESTRICTED - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED

Page 4 of 6

Annex: Further analysis

Background

In January 2023 the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) commissioned this Advisory Opinion to determine inter alia the "legal consequences" arising from Israel's "ongoing violation" of the "right of the Palestinian people to self-determination" and "from its prolonged occupation, settlement and annexation of the Palestinian territory occupied since 1967".

It follows the Advisory Opinion issued in 2004 holding that the wall constructed by Israel in the West Bank violates international law, including the Palestinian right to selfdetermination (known as the Wall Opinion).

On 19 July 2024, following an 18-month process, including public hearings involving Released under the official into more than 50 states, the Court released its Opinion. The Court concluded that Israel's presence in the OPT is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible, s9(2)(h)

s9(2)(h)

POLI-203-1738

s9(2)(h)

Page 5 of 6

Policy implications for New Zealand

d under

s9(2)(h)

sticial Information Act The ICJ has largely confirmed the position held by New Zealand for some time. These are views we have communicated to Israel's government.

s9(2)(h)

New Zealand is likely to come under increasing pressure from advocacy groups to 'act' on the ICJ advisory opinion. s9(2)(h)

s9(2)(h)

Page 6 of 6

s9(2)(h)

Additional pressure to sanction Israeli settlers will also likely continue. s9(2)(h)

Released under the Official Information Act

POLI-203-1738

RESTRICTED - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED

Explainer: International cases involving Gaza/Israel

Out of scope

Advisory Opinion on the Legal Consequences Arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem

Nation Act

The ICJ has just decided an advisory opinion case primarily about legality of the settlements in the Occupied Palestinian Territories. The Advisory Opinion <u>is authoritative</u> <u>but not binding</u>, because it is an answer to a legal question submitted by the UN General Assembly rather than a "live case".

The UN General Assembly requested this Advisory Opinion in January 2023, s6(a)

. New Zealand abstained on the resolution requesting the Advisory Opinion.

The Court released its opinion on 19 July 2024. The Court concluded that Israel's presence in the OPT is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible. The conclusions the Court has reached are consistent with New Zealand's long-standing positions, including that settlements are unlawful under international law.

The next step is for the UN General Assembly and the UNSC to determine what further action is required to bring Israel's unlawful presence in the OPT to an end. ^{s6(a)}

Out of scope

Page 2 of 2

s9(2)(g)(ii) From: Sent: Thursday, 25 July 2024 12:20 pm s9(2)(g)(ii) ESD; \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc:

To:

s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject:

RE: Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ICJ

Really great quick work, thank you LGL and MEA. Shows the value of being prepared. Chris Penk (Associate Defence) is answering it on behalf of MFA. Hormation

Am heading along shortly to brief him - will give you a call if needs be ...

Best

s9(2)(g) (11)

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 11:55 AM To: s9(2)(g)(ii)

DM-ESD@mfat.govt.nz>; \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ICJ

[UNCLASSIFIED]

His9(2)(g)

With thanks to MEA, please see responses attached. I note there was a Labour party press release on this issue - Govt must act on ICJ ruling on illegal Israeli occupation - NZ Labour Party.

Offit

Please let me know if you need anything further or you have any additional supplementaries you would like answers to

s9(2)(g)(ii)

s9(2)(a)

```
From: $9(2)(g)(ii)
Sent: Thursday, 25 July 2024 11:06 am
To: ESD <<u>DM-ESD@mfat.govt.nz</u>>; s9(2)(g)(ii)
```

Subject: Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ICJ Importance: High

Hiteam,

We have an oral question in Parliament today (question 9, on Israel/ICJ). Mark Mitchell is Acting for MFA, but not in the House today - so we're working out who will answer for MFA.

Please provide us the briefing in the usual way (ideally by midday, drawing on existing lines) and we will ationA transact with the relevant Minister's office.

Best

s9(2)(g) /ii\



s9(2)(g)(ii)

Senior Foreign Affairs Adviser | Office of Rt Hon Winston Peters Deputy Prime Minister | Minister of Foreign Affairs | Minister for Racing

DDI: s9(2)(a) Email: 59(2)(g)(ii) Website: www.Beehive.govt.nz Private Bag 18041, Parliament Buildings, Wellington 6160, New Zealand

Disclaimer: The information in this email (including attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged. If an addressing or transmission error has misdirected this email, please notify the author by replying to this email and destroy the message. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure, copying or distribution is prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: s9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:57 AM To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Portfolio < ForeignAffairs.Portfolio@parliament.govt.nz> Subject: FW: Oral Questions Thursday 25 July Importance: High

Foreign Affairs

FYA, We have a FA question today

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:53 AM To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

					nor	mati	onAc
		Questions Thursday 25 July					
	rtance:		<i>a</i> *.	id.			
			C.F.	icial			
mpo			04				
	rtance:	ligh	04				
mpo 1 2	LAB	Finance	me of				
mpo 1	LAB GRN	igh Finance Children	the off				
1 2 3 4	LAB GRN NAT	Finance Children Finance	the off				
1 2 3	LAB GRN NAT LAB	igh Finance Children Finance Children	a.				
1 2 3 4 5 6	LAB GRN NAT LAB NAT	igh Finance Children Finance Children Transport	a.				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LAB GRN NAT LAB NAT LAB	igh Finance Children Finance Children Transport Prevention of Family & Sexu	ual Violence				
1 2 3 4 5	LAB GRN NAT LAB NAT LAB GRN	igh Finance Children Finance Children Transport Prevention of Family & Sexu Child Poverty Reduction	ual Violence				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LAB GRN NAT LAB NAT LAB GRN NAT	igh Finance Children Finance Children Transport Prevention of Family & Sexu Child Poverty Reduction Social Development & Emp	ual Violence loyment				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LAB GRN NAT LAB NAT LAB GRN NAT LAB	igh Finance Children Finance Children Transport Prevention of Family & Sexu Child Poverty Reduction Social Development & Emp Foreign Affairs	ual Violence loyment				

"The information contained in this email message is intended only for the addressee and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the Ministry. It may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this message or the information in it as this may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately."

Attachment to email "Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ ICJ" withheld in full under section 9(2)(g)(i)

Attachment to email "Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ICJ" withheld in full under section 9(2)(g)(i)

Attachment to email "Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ICJ" withheld in full under section 9(2)(g)(i)

Attachment to email "Oral Questions Thursday 25 July: Israel/ICJ" withheld in full under section 9(2)(g)(i)

From: To: Cc:	MEA MEA; NEW YORK CANBERRA; WASHINGTON; LONDON; OTTAWA; ALL POSTS (FM);,WLN SLT; CEO; DCE; DS EMA; DS MLG; DS AAG; DS PDG; AMER; AUS; LGL; PHM; ISED; EUR; s6(a) FM.Defence (Seemail); s6(a) FM.P/S MEA (Seemail); FM.P/S Detence (Seemail); FM.DPMC (FPA) (Seemail); FM.P/S Associate MEA (Seemail); s6(a) S9(2)(g)(ii) LGL; HAGUE; UNHC; CAIRO; ANKAR/
Subject:	RE: FORMAL MESSAGE: MEPP/ICJ: s6(b)(i)
Date: Attachments:	Friday, 2 August 2024 7:36:56 pm <u>Talking Points International Court of Justice Advisory Opinion on Israel and Occupied Palestinian</u> <u>Territories.docx</u> <u>Annex - additional info</u> s6(a) <u>.docx</u>
[RESTRICT	ED]
	or your reporting we attach: background on the ICJ Advisory Opinion. ^{s6(a)}
• A furthe	er annex with additional information. ^{s6(a)}
Should you ha s9(2)(g)(ii)	ve further questions and as negotiations progress we ask that you please keep LG MEA ^{s9} (2)(g)(ii) and
s9(2)(g)(ii)) and UNHC ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)} and looped in.

ENDS

s9(2)(g)(ii)	MEA \$9(2)(g)(ii)	and	
s9(2)(g)(ii)) and UNHC ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}	looped in.	
ENDS	-Fill		
From: NEW YORK \$9	(2)(g)(ii)		
Sent: Wednesday, 3	1 July 2024 8:13 am_ 🕢		
To: LGL ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}	HAGUE \$ 9(2)(g)(ii) NEW YORK \$9(2)(g)(ii) ;	; MEA \$9(2) (g)(ii) UNHC \$9(2)(g)(ii)	
CAIRO \$9(2)(g)(ii)	ANKARA \$9(2)(g)(ii)		
Cc: CANBERRA	Z>; WASHINGTON \$9(2	2)(g)(ii) ; LONDO	N
s9(2)(g)(ii)		ALL POSTS (FM)	
s9(2)(g)(ii)	;WLN SLT \$9(2)(g)(ii)	; CEO \$9(2	
0	DCE s9(2)(g)(ii) DS EMA	γs9(2)(g)(ii)	; DS MLG
s9(2)(g)(ii)	DS AAG \$9(2)(g)(ii)	DS PDG	
s9(2)(g)(ii)	AMER \$9(2)(g)(ii)	AUS \$9(2)(g)(ii)	LG
< <u>DM-LGL@mfat.gov</u>	<u>rt.nz</u> >; PHM < <u>PHM@mfat.govt.nz</u> >; I	SED < <u>DM-ISED@mfat.govt.</u>	nz>; EUR
<dm-eur@mfat.gov< td=""><td>vt.nz>; s6(a)</td><td></td><td></td></dm-eur@mfat.gov<>	vt.nz>; s6(a)		
F	FM.Defence (Seemail) ^{\$9(2)(g)(ii)}		;
s6(a)	FM	I.P/S MFA (Seemail)	
s9(2)(g)(ii)	; FM.P/S Defence (Seemail) ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}		FM.DPMC
(FPA) (Seemail) ^{s9(2)} s9(2)(g)(ii))(g)(ii) ; FM.P/S Asso s6(a)	ociate MFA (Seemail)	
	s9(2)(g)(ii)		

Subject: FORMAL MESSAGE: MEPP/ICJ: ^{s6(b)(i)}

[SENSITIVE]

Summary – Rāpopoto s6(a), s6(b)(ii)

s6(a), s6(b)(ii)



RESTRICTED

Page 1 of 3

International Court of Justice Advisory Opinion on Israel and Occupied Palestinian Territories

Talking points

- The International Court of Justice the world's highest court issued its Advisory Opinion over the weekend.
- The Court concluded that Israel's presence in the occupied Palestinian territories is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible. The Court also said that Israel must cease all new settlement activities, remove all settlers, and make reparations for the damage caused.
- New Zealand has long held the view that settlements are illegal under international law. The Court has confirmed this view.
- New Zealand is firmly committed to the upholding of international law and the role of the Court. The conclusions the Court has reached are consistent with New Zealand's long-standing positions.
- New Zealand has been clear that Israel's settlements are unlawful, and that settlement expansion undermines a two-state solution. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity.
- New Zealand continues to call on Israel to honour its international legal obligations.

s9(2)(g)(i) Released under the 59(2)(g)(i)

Page 2 of 3

Background

On 19 July 2024 the International Court of Justice issued its Advisory Opinion in respect of the *Legal Consequences arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem.* The Court reached the following conclusions:

- Israel's continued presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territory is unlawful;
- Israel is under an obligation to bring to an end its unlawful presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territory as rapidly as possible;
- Israel is under an obligation to cease immediately all new settlement activities, and to evacuate all settlers from the Occupied Palestinian Territory;
- Israel has the obligation to make reparation for the damage caused to all the natural or legal persons concerned in the Occupied Palestinian Territory;
- All States (including New Zealand) are under an obligation not to render aid or assistance to Israel in maintaining its occupation.

s6(a)

The Court was unanimous that the construction of settlements and the failure to prevent violence by the settlers violate international humanitarian law, international human rights law and the right of the Palestinian people to self-determination and other rules of international law.

This is a very significant decision ${}^{s6(a)}$ For New Zealand, ${}^{s9(2)(h)}$ including that settlements are unlawful under international law.¹ Officials will continue to consider the policy implications of the advisory opinion.

New Zealand reaction

New Zealand's Minister of Foreign Affairs released a statement on 20 July:

- The International Court of Justice has issued an advisory opinion ruling that Israel's presence in the Occupied Palestinian Territories is unlawful and must end as rapidly as possible.

¹ New Zealand co-sponsored on UNSC Resolution 2334 in 2016 which condemned Israels settlements as illegal under international law, POLI-203-1738

RESTRICTED

Page 3 of 3

- Continued settlement expansion makes a two-state solution, the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity, more difficult.
- Israel must honour its international legal obligations.

UN reaction

UN Secretary-General António Guterres reiterated his call for the parties to reconstates" States" Released under the Official Information Act engage on the "long-delayed political path" towards ending the occupation and resolving the conflict. "The only viable path is the vision of two States" his s9(2)(h)

s9(2)(h)

s9(2)(h)



- We provide updated talking points on Middle East conflicts for use by Posts and Divisions as required, ^{s6(a)}
- We continue to appreciate Posts' assistance with ongoing reporting.

HOHENGA - ACTION

All: Talking points on Middle East conflict issues are attached for use by Posts and Divisions in engagements as required.

ATTACHMENTS

- Middle East issues talking points for posts and divisions
- Informal Note for MFA update on Middle East tensions 7 August 2024

PŪRONGO - REPORT

1. We provide updated talking points on the New Zealand Government's position on Middle East conflicts as at 8 August (NZT) to assist Posts and Divisions in their engagements and preparation of briefings. 2. We thank Posts for their ongoing reporting s6(a)

3. We provide a copy of the latest advice to the Foreign Minister on s6(a) as background information for Posts and divisions. By way of short summary for the time poor, our key assessment is that s6(a) nation Act

4. We continue to value post reporting on developments, as time permits.

Talking Points: Intervention in South Africa v Israel in the ICJ

Out of scope

Page 2 of 4

Out of scope

ICJ - Advisory Opinion on Israel's occupation of Palestine

Background. The ICJ Advisory Opinion on Israel's policies and practices in the Occupied Palestinian Territories concluded that Israel's presence in the occupied Palestinian territories is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible. The Court also said that Israel must cease all new settlement activities, remove all settlers, and make reparations for the damage caused. The Report was released on 19 July 2024.

Hicial Information Act

Media points

- The Court concluded that Israel's presence in the occupied Palestinian territories is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible.
- New Zealand has long held the view that settlements are illegal under international law. The Court has confirmed this view.
- New Zealand is firmly committed to the upholding of international law and the role of the Court. The conclusions the Court has reached are consistent with New Zealand's long-standing positions.
- New Zealand has been clear that Israel's settlements are unlawful, and that settlement expansion undermines a two-state solution. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity.

s9(2)(g)(i)

POLI-554020652-722

Page 3 of 4

s9(2)(g)(i)

eleased under the Official Information Act Out of scope -

Page 4 of 4

Out of scope

UNCLASSIFIED

Middle East Issues Talking Points for Posts and Divisions

Contents Out of scope

International Court of Justice and International Criminal Court developments Action Action of scope

POLI-266-623

Page 2 of 7

Out of scope

Page 3 of 7

Out of scope

Out of scope

Page 4 of 7

Page 5 of 7

International Court of Justice and International Criminal Court

Out of scope

ICJ (non-binding) Advisory Opinion on Israel's occupation of Palestine:

New Zealand has long held the view that settlements are illegal under international law. The 9 Court has confirmed this view.

mationAct

- New Zealand has been clear that Israel's settlements are unlawful, and that settlement expansion undermines a two-state solution. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity.
- We have established a travel ban regime against extremist Israeli settlers, and our voting record at the United Nations makes clear our support for the right of Palestinians to self-determination as well as our support for a two-state solution.
- The ICJ has left the means to end Israel's occupation to the UN General Assembly and Security Council. New Zealand supports action at the UN and elsewhere that will support a peace Released process.

Out of scope

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 6 of 7

Out of scope

Out of scope

Page 7 of 7

From:	s9(2)(g)(ii)	
To:	Foreign Affairs Portfolio	
Cc:	ESD	
Subject:	FW: s6(a) templates for UMFA	- ministerial
Date:	Monday, 12 August 2024 1:38:05 pm	
Attachments:	Bespoke Ministerial Correspondence template for OMFA on recent campaign.docx Generic response to Israel-Gaza Ministerials for OMFA- as at August 2024.docx	

[IN CONFIDENCE]

Hi^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}

official Information Act Here is the updated correspondence template for Israel-Gaza.

Cheers, s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: ESD < DM-ESD@mfat.govt.nz> Sent: Friday, 9 August 2024 12:40 pm To: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Subject: FW: s6(a)

- ministerial templates for OMFA

[IN CONFIDENCE]

Hi ^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}

Could you please review this response for release.

s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: s9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Friday, 9 August 2024 12:33 pm To: \$9(2)(g)(ii) SD@mfat.govt.nz>; \$9(2)(g)(ii) Cc: ESD <DA

Subject: RE: s6(a) - ministerial templates for OMFA

[IN CONFIDENCE]

Kia ora^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}

With apologies for the late response to your request (I have been on leave), I have attached two ministerial templates for OMFA use.

The first is a standard template \$9(2)(g)(i)

The **second** template is more bespoke, ^{s9(2)(g)(i)}

Both templates have had input from LGL. $CC_{(ii)}^{s9(2)(g)}$ for awareness $(n_{(ii)}^{s9(2)(g)})$ absence.

Pation Act

Thanks, s9(2)(g)(ii)



Please see the request below from

Please advise if MEA will provide a separate response for the ICJ ruling campaign emails, or if some lines can be incorporated in the Israel/Gaza standard response attached. Please also check if the standard response is still current.

Ngā mihi

s9(2)(g)(ii) M s9(2)(a)

From: Foreign Affairs Portfolio < ForeignAffairs.Portfolio@parliament.govt.nz> Sent: Tuesday, 23 July 2024 1:57 pm To: ESD <<u>DM-ESD@mfat.govt.nz</u>> Subject: s6(a)

Kia ora s9(2)(g)

Following ICJ rulling over the weekend, we have received a no. of email campaign letters/general correspondence on the issue (attached are a few of the correspondence received).

Released under the Official Information Action Acti

Bespoke Ministerial Correspondence template for campaign after ICJ AO:

Out of scope

International Court of Justice Advisory Opinion on Legal Consequences arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem:

New Zealand strongly supports the international legal system for resolving legal disputes, including the work of the International Court of Justice (ICJ). The ICJ deals with two kinds of cases: <u>advisory opinions</u> on legal questions which are referred to the Court by the United Nations, and <u>disputes</u> between states, for example, *South Africa v Israel*.

The ICJ Advisory Opinion on Israel's policies and practices in the Occupied Palestinian Territories concluded that Israel's presence in the occupied Palestinian territories is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible. The Court also said that Israel must cease all new settlement activities, remove all settlers, and make reparations for the damage caused.

Page 2 of 3

The conclusions the Court has reached are consistent with New Zealand's longstanding positions.

- New Zealand has been consistently clear that Israel must uphold its legal 1. obligations and must respect the independent and impartial decisions of international courts
- 2. New Zealand has been clear that Israel's settlements are unlawful, and that settlement expansion undermines a two-state solution. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity.
- 3. The Government has established a travel ban regime against some extremist Israeli settlers. and New Zealand's voting record at the Released under the official into United Nations makes clear our support for the right of Palestinians to selfdetermination as well as our support for a two-state solution.

Out of scope

The following page is out of scope.

POLI-554020652-686

Out of scope

Suggested Ministerial Correspondence template response lines (29 July 2024)

Out of scope

International Court of Justice Advisory Opinion on Legal Consequences arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem:

New Zealand strongly supports the international legal system for resolving legal disputes, including the work of the International Court of Justice (ICJ). The ICJ deals with two kinds of cases: advisory opinions on legal questions which are referred to the Court by the United Nations, and disputes between states, for example, South Africa v Israel.

The ICJ Advisory Opinion on the Legal Consequences arising from the Policies and Practices of Israel in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem concluded that Israel's presence in the occupied Palestinian territories is unlawful, and that Israel must end its occupation as rapidly as possible. The Court also said that Israel must cease all new settlement activities, remove all settlers, and make reparations for the damage caused.

The conclusions the Court has reached are consistent with New Zealand's long-standing positions.

- 1. New Zealand has been consistently clear that Israel must uphold its legal obligations and must respect the independent and impartial decisions of international courts.
- 2. New Zealand has been clear that Israel's settlements are unlawful, and that settlement expansion undermines a two-state solution. A two-state solution is the only way for Israelis and Palestinians to live side-by-side with security and dignity.
- 3. The Government has established a travel ban regime against some extremist Israeli New Zealand's voting settlers. and record at the United Nations makes clear our support for the right of Palestinians to selfdetermination as well as our support for a two-state solution.

Yours sincerely

Released under the [Ministerial signature block]

END