



New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade Manatū Aorere

195 Lambton Quay Private Bag 18–901 Wellington 6160 New Zealand

+64 4 439 8000 +64 4 472 9596

OIA 29939

7 March 2025

Personal details removed for proactive release

Personal details removed for proactive release

Thank you for your email of 8 February 2025 in which you request the following under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA):

- 1. Any correspondence received from any of the signatories of the Joint Statement regarding the signing of the statement. This includes any requests made for New Zealand to sign the statement or any information provided to New Zealand before the release of the statement.
- 2. All communications between New Zealand government ministers and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade concerning this statement and its contents.

Please find the information relevant to your request attached. Some information is withheld under the following sections of the OIA:

- 6(a): to avoid prejudicing the security or defence of New Zealand or the international relations of the New Zealand Government;
- 6(b)(i): to protect the passing of information from another government on a confidential basis;
- 9(2)(a): to protect individuals' privacy;
- 9(2)(g)(i): to protect the free and frank expression of opinions by departments;
 and
- 9(2)(g)(ii): to protect officers and employees from improper pressure or harassment.

Where the information has been withheld under section 9 of the OIA, no public interest in releasing the information has been identified that would override the reasons for withholding it.

Please note that it is our policy to proactively release our responses to official information requests where possible. Therefore, our response to your request (with your personal information removed) may be published on the Ministry website: www.mfat.govt.nz/en/about-us/contact-us/official-information-act-responses/

If you have any questions about this decision, you can contact us by email at: DM-ESD@mfat.govt.nz. You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision by contacting www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Nāku noa, nā

Sarah Corbett

for Secretary of Foreign Affairs and Trade

From:

s9(2)(g)(ii)

To: Cc:

Subject:

RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC [SEC=RESTRICTED] [SEC=RESTRICTED]. [SEC=RESTRICTED].

Date:

7 February 2025 12:49:06 pm

Attachments:

image001.ipg

[RESTRICTED]

Thanks \$9(2 - that is helpful. \$6(a))(g)

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Date: Friday, 07 Feb 2025 at 12:46 PM To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC [SEC=RESTRICTED]. [SEC=RESTRICTED]. [SEC=RESTRICTED]

[RESTRICTED]

s9(2)(g)(ii) at al

Some useful context here from OTT. \$9(2)(9)(ii) from OTT called me shortly after we had spoken, *9(2)(9) and we had a quick conversation ahead of me forwarding a copy of your email.

about \$6(2) As we move forward on this and similar issues, I think the point about s6(a), s9(2)(g)(i)

Cheers

Thanks \$9(2)(g)(I) - really helpful.

s6(a), s6(b)(i)

s9(2)(q)(ii

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Date: Thursday, Feb 06, 2025 at 6:17 PM

 $T_0: s9(2)(g)(ii)$ Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC [SEC=RESTRICTED]

[RESTRICTED]

Hi all,

The EO is now up: Imposing Sanctions on the International Criminal Court – The White House

Kind regards,

s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2025 5:20 PM

To: s9(2)(g)(ii) Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC

Hi s9(2)(g)(ii)

Further to this, the s6(a), s6(b)(i)

Kind regards,

s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2025 3:51 PM

To: s9(2)(g)(ii) Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

nort of Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC

Hi s9(2)(g)(ii)

Thank you for this. s6(a), s6(b)(i)

Kind regards, s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2025 3:45 PM

To: s9(2)(g)(ii) Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC

[RESTRICTED]

Hi s9(2)(g)(ii)

s6(a), s6(b)(i), s9(2)(g)(i)

This is what we were advised:

Thanks *9(2)(a) I have worked through similar issues with MFA over the last few weeks, including with respect to the ICC.

next couple of hours:

s6(a)

```
Let me know if that's enough direction for now - or whether you're wanting me to call MFA this morning to put a specific
  proposition / recommendation to him.
  Best
  s9(2)(g)(ii)
  Thanks again
s9(2)(g)(ii)
  From: $9(2)(g)(ii)
  Sent: Friday, February 7, 2025 8:47 AM
  To: s9(2)(g)(ii)
  Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)
  Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the
  [RESTRICTED]
  Thank you. s9(2)(g)(i)
  From: s9(2)(g)(ii)
  Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2025 2:04 PM
  To: s9(2)(g)(ii)
  Cc: $9(2)(g)(ii)
  Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC
  Thanks $9(2 | am just about to check in with OMFA so will come back to you asap
  From: $9(2)(g)(ii)
  Sent: Friday, February 7, 2025 8:03 AM
  To: $9(2)(g)(ii)
  Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)
  Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC
  [RESTRICTED]
  s9(2)(g)(ii)
  Thank and apologies again for the multiple messages. The latest in NY is as follows, noting this is all subject to change in the
```

s6(b)(i), s6(a)

Kind regards,

s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2025 1:55 PM

To:s9(2)(g)(ii) Cc: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Je i de la company de la compa Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC

[RESTRICTED]

Hi s9(2)(g)(ii)

I will come back to you asap about this.

Thanks **s9(2)(g)(ii)**

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2025 4:15 PM

To: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

cc:s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC

[RESTRICTED]

Hi all,

With apologies for the multiple messages, s6(a), s6(b)(i)

s9(2)(g)(ii)

From: \$9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2025 6:45 PM

To: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC

Kia ora,

The statement as-was distributed by email remains unchanged. As I understand it NZ's priority remains \$6(a) - otherwise, welcome any guidance in advance. Happy to discuss if that would be helpful! Kind regards. s9(2)(g)(ii) From: s9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2025 4:04 PM To: s9(2)(g)(ii)Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii) Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the CG Thanks 59(2)(9)(11) s6(a) From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Tuesday, February 4, 2025 4:13 PM To: s9(2)(g)(ii) Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii) Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC s9(2)(g)(ii) Please do remain in contact on the issue. \$6(a) Please include s9(2)(g)(ii) in communications on this issue from tomorrow, as I am on leave on Friday, s9(2)(g)(II) From: \$9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2025 10:09 AM To: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

[RESTRICTED]

His9(2) ()(ii)

Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC

```
As my email last night s6(a)
                                                                s6(b)(i)
s6(a)
s6(a), s6(b)(i)
s6(a)
Kind regards,
s9(2)(g)(ii)
From: s9(2)(g)(ii)
Sent: Monday, February 3, 2025 7:35 PM
To:s9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: FW: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC
[UNCLASSIFIED]
FYI Thanks for your work on this,
s9(2)(g)(ii)
From: $9(2)(g)(ii)
Sent: Tuesday, February 4, 2025 1:18 PM
To:s9(2)(g)(ii)
Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: RE: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC
Thanks for the update, $9(2)
From: s9(2)(g)(ii)
Sent: Tuesday, 4 February 2025 12:33 PM
To: $9(2)(g)(ii)
Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)
Subject: For information: NZ will not join a statement in support of the ICC
 [SEEMAIL] [RESTRICTED]
 His9(2)(g)(ii)
s6(a), s9(2)(g)(i)
```

s6(a), s9(2)(g)(i)

I am happy to answer any further questions you may have,

s9(2)(g)(ii)

Legal Adviser, General International Law Unit | Roopu Ture o Te Ao Legal Division New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs & Trade | Manatū Aorere

Ms9(2)(a)

€ s9(2)(g)(ii)

Released under the Official Information Act

From: To:

s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc:

Subject: Date:

Re: ICC statement on sanctions - NZ support

7 February 2025 9:09:17 am

Attachments: image001.ipg

Thanks *9(2)(0)(1) I have worked through similar issues with MFA over the last few weeks, including with respect to the ICC.

s6(a), s9(2)(g)(i)

Let me know if that's enough direction for now - or whether you're wanting me to call MFA this morning to put a specific proposition / recommendation to him. specific proposition / recommendation to him.

Best

s9(2)

s9(2)(g)(ii)

Senior Foreign Affairs Adviser New Zealand Deputy Prime Minister & Minister of Foreign Affairs Phone/WhatsApp: \$9(2)(a)

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Friday, February 7, 2025 08:11

To: s9(2)(g)(ii) Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: ICC statement on sanctions - NZ support

[SEEMAIL] [RESTRICTED]

Good morning 9(2)(g)(ii)

Apologies for the short notice, and happy to discuss.

Thanks s9(2)(g)(ii)

Duplicate information removed

Released under the Official Information Act

Roleased under the Official Information Act

Red under the Official Information Act sope

To: Cc: RE: Trump issues EO sanctioning ICC Subject: 8 February 2025 8:05:07 am Date: [RESTRICTED] s9(2)(g)(ii) Thanks s6(a) s6(a) s6(a) Thanks everyone for the good multi-post engagement on this today. S. From: s9(2)(g)(ii) Sent: Friday, 7 February 2025 6:11 pm To: s9(2)(g)(ii)Subject: RE: Trump issues EO sanctioning ICC Importance: High [RESTRICTED]

s9(2)(g)(ii)

From:

 $Hi^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}$

Sorry for coming in late to this as my emails have been down this morning due to our Outlook being migrated today.

We had guidance from DS MLG/LGL yesterday afternoon that s6(a)

s6(a), s6(b)(i)

s9(2)(g)(i), s6(a)

s6(a)

I will talk with *9(2)(g)(ii) when we have a chance to connect about FM reporting later today (she's off site at the moment).

Cheers 59(2)(g)(ii)

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Friday, February 7, 2025 10:19 AM

To: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: RE: Trump issues EO sanctioning ICC

IRESTRICTEDI

Further to this message.

s6(a), s6(b)(i)

Thanks everyone.

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Friday, 7 February 2025 11:31 am

To: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: FW: Trump issues EO sanctioning ICO

In my cut-and-paste haste, I left off $^{s9(2)(g)(ii)}$ Sorry! $^{s9(2)(g)(i)}$

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Friday, 7 February 2025 11:23 am

To: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: FW: Trump issues EO sanctioning ICC

[RESTRICTED]

Out of scope

The joint statement

s9(2)(g)(i) s6(a), s9(2)(g)(i)

In terms of messaging s6(a)

we are making these points:

- Our position on support for the ICC and opposition to sanctions is clear and on the record, including the Bureau statement, the statement last June, the ICC Assembly

In terms of next steps, we note that as of today *6(a)

The Netherlands MFA Casper Veldcamp have
Caspar Veldkamp on X: "The Netherlands MFA Court's wear trong reputation as stitutions." The Netherlands MFA Casper Veldcamp has made a public statement on the Executive Order. Caspar Veldkamp on X: "The Netherlands regrets the executive order imposing sanctions on the ICC. The court's work is essential in the fight against impunity. Our country has a strong reputation and responsibility as a host country of important international legal Redept My

Out of scope

The Official Inflormation Rich (Spinish Property 1997)

Tebruary 2025 1

From: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Sent: Friday, 7 February 2025 1:19 am

To: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Cc: s9(2)(g)(ii)

Subject: Trump issues EO sanctioning ICC

[IN CONFIDENCE]

Kia ora koutou,

s6(a)

I HOLLATION LOS Please send this on to anyone I've undoubtedly missed!

.Jubted .m)

From:

WASHINGTON

Sent:

8 February 2025 10:21 am

To:

AMER; LGL

Cc:

FM.Defence (Seemail); FM.P/S MFA (Seemail); FM.DPMC (FPA) (Seemail); FM.DPMC (Seemail);

FM.P/S Defence (Seemail); FM.P/S Associate MFA (Seemail); ...WLN SLT; ALL POSTS (FM);

...POLICY DIVISIONS; OCE; HAGUE; MEA; WASHINGTON

Subject:

Released under the Official Information Act FORMAL MESSAGE: US EXECUTIVE ORDER AUTHORISING SANCTIONS ON ICC

[SEC=RESTRICTED]

Follow Up Flag:

Flag Status:

[RESTRICTED]

HOHENGA - ACTION

For information.

SUMMARY

Out of scope

Released under the Official Information Act

Joint Statement

- 9. In response to the EO, a number of states parties to the ICC (79 at the time of writing) issued a <u>Joint Statement</u> affirming their support for the ICC and opposition to the imposition of sanctions. The Joint Statement was published on social media accounts on 7 February (EST).
- 10. The statement does not refer directly to the US Executive Order, but states that "measures sanctioning the Court, its officials and staff, and those cooperating with it have been adopted in response to the Court carrying out its mandate in accordance with the Rome Statute". The statement outlines ways in which sanctions may negatively affect the work of the Court; "regret[s]

any attempts to undermine the Court's independence, integrity and impartiality"; reaffirms supporters' commitment the ICC's business continuity in order to allow the Court to carry out its functions; and underscores the Court's role in ending impunity and upholding international law.

11. Canada and the United Kingdom joined the statement. Australia did not, and nor did regional ICC states parties ROK and Japan. (Comment: While New Zealand did not join the statement, we are on the ICC bureau, which issued a proactive statement in support of the Court in January).

Out of scope

MUTU - ENDS

Paleased under the Official Internation Act